

## Letter to the Editor

G. M. Levin

Received November 29, 1998

1. Theorem 2 of [1] is not proved in full generality. Indeed, in the notation of [1],  $g_n \rightarrow \tilde{g}$  outside a finite set  $C \subset J_f$ . The case in which the function  $\tilde{g}$  is not a constant is impossible; see [1]. If  $\tilde{g} \equiv c$ , then the case  $c \notin C$  is impossible as well, because otherwise, for a large fixed  $n$ , the sequence of iterates of  $g_n$  is normal at  $c$ . The case  $c \in C$  is missing in the proof. Consider two subcases.

(A)  $J(g)$  is an exceptional Julia set (i.e.,  $J_f$  is either the Riemann sphere, a circle, or an interval). Then, by the definition of the class  $R_d(f)$ , all the functions  $g_n$  share with  $f$  the same measure of maximal entropy  $\mu$ . If  $U$  is a small neighborhood of a point from  $J_f \setminus C$ , then the sets  $u_n = g_n(u)$  tend to the point  $c$ . On the other hand,  $\mu(u_n) \geq \mu(u) > 0$ . This leads to a contradiction. Indeed, there is a  $k_0$  such that for any  $k > k_0$  the point  $f^k(c)$  is not a critical point of  $f$  (otherwise, there would be a periodic orbit in  $J_f$  which contained a critical point of  $f$ ). Therefore, for a fixed  $k$  such that  $d^{k-k_0} \cdot \mu(u) \geq 1$  and for any  $n$  large enough we have the inequalities  $\mu(f^k(u_n)) \geq d^{k-k_0} \cdot \mu(u_n) \geq 1$ , which leads to a contradiction.

(B)  $J_f$  is not exceptional and  $c \in C$ . This subcase remains open. Thus, for an arbitrary  $f$ , the statement of Theorem 2 is a conjecture (call it C1).

2. Let us stress that Theorem 2 is not used in the proofs of other results of [1], and also in the paper [2]. In particular, the main result of [1] (Theorem 1), Theorem 3, Theorem 4, as well as all proofs and results of [2] remain unchanged.

Notice, nevertheless, that in the proof of Theorem 3 (1) of [1] (in the part where  $|\lambda_2| = 1$ ) is assumed) one should define the functions  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  holomorphic at the point  $a$  in such a way that  $H_1 = g$  and  $f \circ H_2 = f^2$ ,  $H_2(a) = a$ . Then  $H_2'(a)$  is equal to the chosen value  $\lambda_2^{1/p}$ , where  $p \geq 1$  is the multiplicity of the root  $x = a$  in the equation  $f(x) = b$ . Then we consider two possibilities as in the article: either there exists an integer  $q \geq 1$  such that  $(\lambda_2^{1/p})^q = 1$  or not. Note that  $H_2^n \neq \text{id}$  for any  $n \geq 1$  because otherwise  $f = f^{n+1}$ . In the exceptional case  $\mu_f = \mu_g = \mu$  we have  $\mu(H_1 \circ H_2(A)) \geq d \cdot m \cdot \mu(A)$ . Since  $|H_1'(a)| = |(H_1 \circ H_2)'(a)| = |\lambda_1| > 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(B(a, \varepsilon))}{\ln \varepsilon}$$

is simultaneously equal to  $\ln d / \ln |\lambda_1|$  and bigger than or equal to  $\ln(dm) / \ln |\lambda_1|$ , a contradiction. The rest of the proof of Theorem 3 remains unchanged.

3. For the following classes of functions  $f$ , Theorem 2 (i.e., the conjecture C1) has been proved:

- 1)  $J_f$  is an exceptional Julia set (see the case (A) above).
- 2)  $f$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 3 (2). Then, as follows from Theorem 3 and Remark 2 (see also [2, p. 2186, (A2)]), all the functions  $g_n$  share with  $f$  the same measure of maximal entropy. As we know, this is impossible (see the case (A) above). In particular, Theorem 2 holds for all hyperbolic rational functions  $f$ .

4. In [3] the following conjecture is stated (call it C2): If  $J$  is not an exceptional Julia set of a rational function  $f$ , and  $A$  is a Möbius transformation such that  $A(J) = J$ , then  $A^q = \text{id}$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . The conjecture C2 is apparently weaker than C1: if C1 holds for a function  $f$ , then C2 also holds for the same  $f$  (otherwise there would be an infinite set of rational functions  $A_0^{-n} f_0 A^n$  with the same Julia set  $J$ ). Under an additional assumption, C2 is proved in the following proposition, which generalizes the main result of [3] and follows easily from Theorem 1 of [1].

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be a Möbius transformation such that  $A(J) = J$ , and let a fixed point of  $A$  be (pre)periodic for  $f$ . If  $J$  is not exceptional, then  $A^q = \text{id}$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.**

1) If  $A$  is an irrational rotation, the Julia set is locally diffeomorphic to a product of an interval and a Cantor set. This is impossible (see the proof of this fact due to A. Eremenko in [1]).

2) Let  $A$  not be a rotation. We can assume (passing to an iterate of  $f$ ) that  $A(0) = 0$ ,  $f(0) = a$ ,  $f(a) = a$ . Let  $\lambda = f'(a)$ . Then  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ , because  $a \in J$ . There exists a holomorphic at zero function  $H_1$  such that  $f \circ H_1 = f^2$  and  $H_1(0) = 0$ ,  $|H_1'(0)| = |\lambda|^{1/p}$ , where  $p \geq 1$  is the multiplicity of the root  $x = 0$  in the equation  $f(x) = a$ . Denote  $H_2 = A$  and  $R = H_2^{-1} \circ H_1^{-1} \circ H_2 \circ H_1$  (cf. the proof of Proposition 1 in [1]). If  $R = \text{id}$ , then  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  commute and  $H_i(0) = 0$ . Since  $H_2$  is Möbius,  $H_1$  is Möbius too, contrary to  $f \circ H_1 = f^2$ . If  $R$  is not the identity, we have  $R'(0) = 1$ . Consider two cases:

2a)  $A'(0) = 1$ . After the change  $z \mapsto 1/z$ , one can assume  $H_2(z) = A(z) = z + 1$ ,  $R(z)$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$  and  $R(z) = z + b_0 + b_1/z + \dots$ . Pick a point  $x \in J$  close enough to  $\infty$ . Consider maps  $h_m = H_2^{-m} \circ R \circ H_2^m$ . Then  $h_m(z) \rightarrow z + b_0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on  $z$  from a neighborhood  $V$  of the point  $x \in J$ . Since  $J$  is not exceptional, by Theorem 1,  $h_j = h_{j+i}$  for some  $i > 0$ . Hence,  $R$  commutes with  $H_2^i$  (which is the shift  $z \mapsto z + i$ ). It follows that  $R$  is also a shift, and  $H_1$  is a linear map (in the  $z$ -coordinate). But  $f \circ H_1 = f^2$ , a contradiction.

2b)  $|A'(0)| \neq 1$ . Repeat the proof of Proposition 1 or Lemma 3 to show that  $R = \text{id}$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I express my gratitude to A. Eremenko for letting me know about the preprint [3] with a discussion of Theorem 2 of [1].

#### REFERENCES

1. G. M. Levin, *Mat. Zametki [Math. Notes]*, **48** (1990), 72–79.
2. G. Levin and F. Przytycki, *RAMS*, **125** (1997), 2179–2190.
3. D. Boyd, *Translation Invariant Julia Sets*, Preprint, 1998.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY,  
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL