

Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour
piz@math.huji.ac.il

Dear Serge,

Excuse me for the time I took to reply to your request.
I have had a lot of stuff to fix before leaving for the US.
And I'm leaving next week.

So let's come back to the question of geodesics in a pseudo riemannian manifold. My motivation was to understand how to glue together all the geodesics in only one structure or description. Because, for example, if we take the space \mathbb{R}^4 with the pseudo metric $dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - dt^2$ (the Minkowsky space), we know that the geodesics are just lines and the spaces of lines of \mathbb{R}^4 is a manifold diffeomorphic to TS^3 . And this manifold is splitted in three parts: the time-like geodesics, the space-like geodesics which are 2 open domain of TS^3 and the light geodesics which is a codimension 1 submanifold. We know that on the time / space geodesics we can put a symplectic structure, but what about the light geodesics? And if there exist a structure on the light geodesics how does it glued with the symplectic structures?

This was my first question.

(2)

Now I'll try to describe my answer:

1) first of all I had to understand the difference between "parametrized geodesics" and "unparametrized geodesics":

* "parametrized geodesics" are curves in M (M is the pseudo-riemannian manifold), that is maps $\gamma: t \mapsto x$ such that

$$\hat{\frac{d}{dt}} v = 0 \text{ with } v = \frac{dx}{dt} \text{ and } \hat{\frac{d}{dt}} \text{ is the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.}$$

So parametrized geodesics lies in the space of functions from (a priori) \mathbb{R} to M . They are solutions of a 2nd order ordinary differential equation, then the set of parametrized geodesics is a $2n$ -dimensional space, let us assume that the metric is complete and then this space, I denote by G_{par} , is diffeomorphic to TM .

In the example of $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ (+++-) :

$$G_{\text{par}} = \{ \gamma_{(x,v)} = [t \mapsto x + tv] \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^4, v \in \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \}$$

* "Unparametrized geodesic".

let me open a parenthesis now: Of course in a pseudo-riemannian manifold we do not want to consider parametrized geodesics since the parametrization by

(3)

the time is included in the image of the curve $\text{im}(\gamma_{(x,v)})$. It is why we have to clean up the parametrization. So we define a new set :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_{\text{unpar}} = G_{\text{par}} / \sim \\ \text{with :} \\ \gamma \sim \gamma' \text{ iff } \text{im}(\gamma) = \text{im}(\gamma') \end{array} \right.$$

If we are lucky the space G_{unpar} of unparametrized geodesics is a nice manifold. This is the case for $(\mathbb{R}^4, +++)$ for example. And in this example G_{unpar} is just the space of lines as we told before.

So for $(\mathbb{R}^4, +++)$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_{\text{par}} \approx \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^{4-1,0} \quad (\dim 8) \\ g_{\text{unpar}} \approx TS^3 \quad (\dim 6) \end{array} \right.$$

But we can get the quotient G_{unpar} by the quotient of the action of the affine group of \mathbb{R} :

$$\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{ (a, b) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R} \}$$

[Note : I consider oriented geodesics, parametrized are of course oriented by the "speed"]

Now, two geodesics γ and γ' have the same "trajectory" iff :

$$\gamma'(t) = \gamma(at + b)$$

(4)

So I have an action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ on g_{par} :

$$(a, b)(\gamma) = [t \mapsto \gamma(at + b)]$$

And

$$g_{\text{unpar}}^+ = g_{\text{par}} / \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$$

this is completely true if the geodesic flow is complete,
it's more complicated if it is not complete.

So now, I make a difference between the space of
"parametrized geodesics" and "geodesic trajectories" mani-
folded. I denote also $g_{\text{traj}}^+ = g_{\text{unpar}}^+$.

2) What about the structure?

a) We know that there exist a symplectic structure on g_{par} since
 g_{par} is the set of solutions of a variational problem:

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{2} v \cdot v dt \quad \text{with } v = \frac{dx}{dt} \text{ and } \cdot \text{ is}$$

the pseudo scalar product.

Thus we have the Cartan form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\omega} = v \cdot dx - \frac{1}{2} v \cdot v dt \text{ on } TM \times \mathbb{R} = Y \\ y \in Y \quad y = (x, v, t) \quad \dim Y = 2n+1 \end{array} \right.$$

(5)

and $g_{\text{par}} = \text{Characteristics of } d\bar{\omega}$

To be complete means just that a solution of the distribution $y \mapsto \ker d\bar{\omega}$ projects surjectively on \mathbb{R} by $y \mapsto t$ and then $g_{\text{par}} = Y/\ker d\bar{\omega} = TM \times \mathbb{R} / \ker d\bar{\omega}$

and then $g_{\text{par}} \cong TM \times \{0\}$ for example. The symplectic

form ω on g_{par} defined by $\pi^* \omega = d\bar{\omega}$ where

$\pi : TM \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow g_{\text{par}}$ is the projection. Hence

$$(g_{\text{par}}, \omega) \cong (TM, d\bar{\omega}|_{TM \times \{0\}})$$

b) now, what about the symplectic form and the action of the group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$?

Let us look at the $(\mathbb{R}^2, +++)$ example :

$$\gamma' = [t \mapsto \gamma(at+b)] = [t \mapsto x + (at+b)v] \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \text{with } \gamma(t) = x + tv \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\gamma' = [t \mapsto x + bv + t(av)]$$

Hence

$$(a,b)(\gamma_{(x,v)}) = \gamma_{(x+bv, av)}$$

Therefore on TM the action of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$ is described by

(6)

$$(a, b)(x, v) = (x + bv, av)$$

[On a more general manifold $x + bv$ represents the action of the geodesic flow.]

Hence $(a, b)^* \bar{\omega}_{(x, v)} = a v \cdot (dx + b dv)$

$$= a v \cdot dx + \frac{1}{2} ab d(v \cdot v)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{(a, b)^* \omega = a \omega}$$

R1. The symplectic form is multiplied and not preserved by the group $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$.

What about the orbits of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$? Let $O = \{(a, b)(x, v) \mid x, v \in TM\}$ an orbit of the group. We get:

$$\omega|_O = (v \cdot v) \times \omega_{\text{aff}} \quad \text{with } \omega_{\text{aff}} = da \wedge db$$

R2. The orbits of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ are:

- symplectic if $v \cdot v \neq 0$
- isotropic if $v \cdot v = 0$

R3. Note that the action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is always free since $v \neq 0$.

R4. we get a distinguished distribution of $2n-2$ spaces:

$$y \mapsto \text{Orth}(T_y[\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})(y)]) (= F_y)$$

(7)

F_Y is the orthogonal with respect to the symplectic form ω to the orbit of Y under the action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$

We have: a) if $v \cdot v \neq 0$ F_Y is symplectic and

$$T_Y g_{\text{par}} = T_Y O_Y \oplus F_Y$$

\uparrow

$O_Y = \text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})(Y)$

b) if $v \cdot v = 0$ F_Y is ω -isotropic and

$$T_Y O_Y \subset F_Y$$

c) in each case $\dim F_Y = 2n - 2$

R5. let $\pi: g_{\text{par}} \rightarrow g_{\text{traj}}$

a) if γ is space/time geodesic: $D\pi_Y(F_Y) = T_{\pi(\gamma)} g_{\text{traj}}$

b) if γ is light: $D\pi_Y(F_Y) \not\subset T_{\pi(\gamma)} g_{\text{traj}}$ and

$\dim D\pi_Y(F_Y) = \dim F_Y - 2$ (since the action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is free) = $2n - 4$.

c) let $g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}}$ denote the space of light rays we have:

$$\text{Orth}(T_Y g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}}) \subset T_Y O_Y, Y \in g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}}$$

Hence $F_Y \subset T_Y g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}}$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{D\pi_Y(F_Y)}_{\dim = 2n-4} \subset \underbrace{D\pi_Y(T_Y g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}})}_{\dim = 2n-3}, Y \in g_{\text{par}}^{\text{light}}$$

(8)

d) the distribution $\tau \mapsto F_\tau$ $\begin{cases} F_\tau = D\pi_y F_y \\ \tau = \pi_y \end{cases}$

is :

- if τ is spacelike : the tangent space
- if τ is light ; a codimension 1 subspace of the tangent space to g_{light} And
it is a contact distribution.

R6. On the space-time geodesic subspace the distribution $\tau \mapsto F_\tau$ is a connection distribution with respect to the action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$

R7. In all the case, since the fibration $g_{\text{par}} \rightarrow g_{\text{traj}}$ is a principal fibration of group $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is contractible this fibration is trivial, that is $g_{\text{par}} \cong g_{\text{traj}} \times \text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$

3) The Savoirain of the symplectic structure:

Since not everywhere $T_y g_{\text{par}} = T_y \mathcal{O}_y \oplus F_y$ we have no chance to find a symplectic structure on g_{traj} but we can try to remember other part of the symplectic

structure on g_{par} ?

let consider w^{-1} the 2 contravariant form defined by

$$\tilde{w}'(\alpha, \beta) = \beta(w^{-1}(\alpha)) \text{ with } w: T_y g_{\text{par}} \rightarrow T_y^* g_{\text{par}}$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$

$\in T_y g_{\text{par}}$

$\in T_y^* g_{\text{par}}$

this structure is not invariant by $\text{Aff}^+(\mathbb{R})$ but it's conformal class is:

$$[w'] = \text{class with respect to } \Lambda \sim c \Lambda$$

$$\uparrow c \neq 0$$

$$\in T_y^{\Lambda^2}(g_{\text{par}})$$

Now $[w']$ can be pushed forward to g_{tray} in a 2 contravariant class of some tensor λ let:

$$\Lambda = \pi_* [w']$$

Λ is a section of some fiber bundle. The kernel of Λ is well defined;

- on the subspace of time/space geodesics Λ has no kernel
- on the light rays the kernel of Λ is exactly the contact distribution above.



Note that on the subspace of time-like rays the action \mathcal{A} can be realized as a cosymplectic form which gives back the symplectic structure.

Last remarks: There are a lot of questions still unresolved associated to these construction. From time to time I am looking at them...