# Final exam in "Fractal Geometry and Dynamics" (80852)

## Instructions

- You have 3 weeks to complete this exam.

You can start at any time;

You must submit the solution up to 3 weeks from when you start but **no later than** August 30, 2012.

You can **submit a hardcopy** to Orly in the student office or **an electronic copy** by email directly to me (mhochman@math.huji.ac.il).

- You may **not** discuss the exam with other people.
- It is **ok** to use course notes, books etc. but the solution you submit must be **your own** work.
- Please write legibly!

## Part 1

Solve 3 of the following 4 problems (11% each).

- a) Let  $\varphi_i: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the (nonlinear) contractions  $\varphi_1(x) = x/(4+2x)$  and  $\varphi_2(x) = 1/(2+x)$ . Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  and let K be the attractor of the IFS  $\Phi$ . Show that  $\log 2/\log 9 \le \dim K \le 1/2$ . (Can you give better bounds?).
- b) Compute the dimension  $\alpha$  of the set of  $x \in [0,1]$  whose binary digits do not have a limiting frequency, i.e.

$$X = \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n} : a_n \in \{0, 1\}, \lim \inf \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i < \lim \sup \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \}$$

Is there an  $\alpha$ -regular measure giving X positive measure?

c) Let  $v_x$  be the vertical line passing through (x,0) and  $h_y$  the horizontal line passing through (0,y). Consider the McMullen carpet X with the pattern

Show that  $X \cap v_x$  contains at most two points for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence has dimension 0, but that dim  $X \cap h_y = 1/(2\log_2 3)$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $y \in [0,1]$ . (Can you propose a construction with dim  $X \cap h_y = \alpha$  for Lebesgue-a.e. y, and a given value of  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ ?).

d) Show that if  $X \subseteq [0,1]^d$  is compact and dim X = d, then  $[0,1]^d \in \mathcal{G}_X$  (where  $\mathcal{G}_X$  is the gallery determined by X).

## Part 2

Complete the proofs of 2 of the following 3 sections (33% each).

## 2.A. How does the dimension of a self-similar set depend on the IFS?

For an IFS  $\Phi$  we write  $X_{\Phi}$  for its attractor. Recall that  $\Phi$  satisfies strong separation if  $\varphi X_{\Phi} \cap \psi X_{\Phi} = 0$  for distinct  $\varphi, \psi \in \Phi$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  be an IFS consisting of contracting similarities on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\alpha = \overline{\text{Mdim}} X_{\Phi}$ . Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an IFS  $\Phi' \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \Lambda^k} \varphi_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  satisfying strong separation and dim  $X_{\Phi'} \ge \alpha - \varepsilon$ .

**Prove the proposition**. (Suggestion: consider an appropriate subset of the  $\varphi_{i_1...i_k}$  with contraction approximately equal to some small r).

Corollary 2. For  $\Phi$  as above, dim  $X_{\Phi} = Mdim X_{\Phi}$ .

**Prove the corollary** (Note that we know that  $\dim X_{\Phi} = \operatorname{Mdim} X_{\Phi}$  if the open set condition holds; the point here is that there is no separation assumption).

Now fix the dimension d of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the index set  $\Lambda$  ( $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ ), and consider the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of all IFSs  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  consisting of (contracting) similitudes.  $\mathcal{F}$  can be identified with  $|\Lambda|$ -tuples of similitudes and the space of similitudes can be identified with  $(0,1) \times O(d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , where O(d) is the space of orthogonal  $d \times d$  matrices and (r, U, a) corresponds to the similitude  $x \mapsto rUx + a$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  inherits a topology from the product  $((0,1) \times O(d) \times \mathbb{R}^d)^{\Lambda}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\Phi_n \to \Phi$  and suppose that  $\Phi$  satisfies strong separation. Then so does  $\Phi_n$  for all large enough n.

Prove the lemma.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\delta: \mathcal{F} \to [0,\infty)$  be the map  $\Phi \mapsto \dim X_{\Phi}$ . Show that  $\delta$  is lower semi-continuous, i.e. that if  $\Phi_n \to \Phi$  then  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \delta(\Phi_n) \geq \delta(\Phi)$ .

#### Prove the theorem

Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be the one-dimensional Sierpinski gasket and let  $F_t = \pi_t F$ , where  $\pi_t(x, y) = tx + y$ . We know from Marstrand's theorem that dim  $F_t = 1$  for Lebesgue-a.e. t. We can now prove that the set of t satisfying this is large also in the sense of Baire category:

Corollary 5. Show that there is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of t such that dim  $F_t = 1$ .

**Prove the corollary** (Suggestion: it is enough to show that  $\{t : \dim F_t > 1 - \varepsilon\}$  contains an open dense set).

Remark. For a general compact set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  the set  $\{t : \dim \pi_t E = \min\{1, \dim E\}\}$  has full measure (Marstrand) but does not need to contain a dense  $G_{\delta}$ . Also, the corollary is true for any self-similar set, although the proof given above does not work except when the contractions of the IFS do not include rotations (why?).

## 2.B. Dimension conservation for homogeneous fractals

## Prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $Z \subseteq [0,1]^2$  be compact and homogeneous. Let  $\pi(x,y) = x$ . Prove that there exists a point  $x \in \pi Z$  with  $\dim(Z \cap \pi^{-1}(x)) \ge \dim Z - \operatorname{Mdim} \pi Z$ .

Suggestion: there is more than one way to prove this, but you may want to use the following facts (if you do you should prove them):

1. The family of all minisets of vertical fibers of X is a gallery: i.e. the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ \emptyset \neq Y \subseteq [0,1]^2 \cap (r(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap X) + a) : y \in \mathbb{R} , r \ge 1, a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \}$$

is a gallery.

2. If  $\mu_n$  are probability measures on sets of the form  $X \cap \pi^{-1}(I_n) \neq \emptyset$  for some intervals  $I_n$  with  $|I_n| = 2^{-n}$ , then any weak-\* accumulation point  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi)$  of  $P_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T_F^n \delta_{(0,\mu_n)}$  has the property that  $\sup p \nu \in \mathcal{F}$  for P-a.e.  $\nu$ .

## 2.C. Badly approximable numbers in self-similar sets

A number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is called badly approximable if there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$|x - \frac{m}{n}| \ge \frac{c}{n^2}$$
 for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

We will denote the set of badly approximable numbers by  $\Gamma$ . (If you have not seen it before this definition may seem arbitrary, but  $\Gamma$  is an important object in Diophantine approximation. It is, in a sense, a generalization of the set of algebraic numbers: Liouville showed that any irrational algebraic number  $\alpha$  as the property above. Elements of  $\Gamma$  are also characterized as those numbers whose partial quotients in the continued fraction expansion of x are bounded).

In this problem you will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a self-similar set of positive dimension. Then  $\dim(X \cap \Gamma) = \dim X$ ; in particular  $X \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .

For each k let  $A_k$  be a disjoint family of compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and write

$$d_k = \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}_k} |A|$$

We say that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is treelike if it satisfies the following properties:

- 1.  $A_0 = \{A_0\}$  consists of a single set.
- 2. For every  $k \geq 0$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , either U = V or  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- 3. For every  $k \geq 1$  and every  $U \in \mathcal{A}_k$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  with  $U \subseteq V$ .
- 4. For every  $k \geq 1$  and every  $U \in \mathcal{A}_k$  there exists  $W \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$  with  $W \subseteq U$ .

5.  $d_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$  (here  $|A| = \operatorname{diam}(A)$ .

Denote  $\bigcup A_k = \bigcup_{E \in A_k} E$ . Clearly  $\emptyset \neq \bigcup A_{k+1} \subseteq \bigcup A_k$ , so

$$A_{\infty} = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup \mathcal{A}_k$$

is a non-empty compact set. Notice that  $\mathcal{A}_k$  is totally disconnected and that the family  $\{U \cap A_\infty : U \in \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty A_k\}$  forms a basis of closed and open sets for the induced topology on  $A_\infty$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and suppose that

$$\mu(A) > 0$$
 for every  $A \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k$ 

Note that then  $A_{\infty} \subseteq \text{supp}\mu$ . Define

$$\Delta_k = \min_{U \in \mathcal{A}_k} \frac{\mu(\bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1} : V \subseteq U\})}{\mu(U)}$$

Note that  $0 < \Delta_k < \infty$  for all k.

**Proposition 8.** Suppose that  $\{A_k\}$  is treelike and  $\mu$ ,  $\Delta_k$  are as above. Then there exists a finite measure  $\nu$  with  $supp\nu = A_{\infty}$  such that for any  $x \in A_{\infty}$ ,

$$\dim(\nu, x) \ge \dim(\mu, x) - \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \log \Delta_i}{\log d_k}$$

In particular, for any open set U intersecting  $A_{\infty}$ ,

$$\dim(U \cap A_{\infty}) \ge \inf_{x \in U} \dim(\mu, x) - \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \log \Delta_i}{\log d_k}$$

**Prove the proposition** (Hint: Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets on  $A_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  the sub-algebra generated by the sets  $U \cap A_{\infty}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Define a finitely additive measure  $\nu_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by the condition that if  $U \in \mathcal{A}_k$  then the mass of  $U \cap A_{\infty}$  is distributed among the sets  $V \cap A_{\infty} \subseteq U$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$  in the same proportion as the  $\mu$ -mass of U is distributed among the sets  $V \subseteq U$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ . Extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  to obtain  $\nu$ . Show that  $\nu$  has the desired properties.).

**Proposition 9.** Let X be a self-similar set for an IFS  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying the open set condition. Let  $\mu$  be the self-similar measure defined by the probability vector  $p_i = r_i^{\alpha}$ , where  $r_i$  is the contraction ratio of  $\varphi_i$  and  $\alpha = \dim X = \dim X$ . Show that there exist constants  $c_2 > c_1 > 1$  such that for every interval I centered in X,

$$c_1|I|^{\alpha} \le \mu(I) \le c_2|I|^{\alpha}$$

in particular there are constants  $c'_1, c'_2 > 0$  such that for  $x \in X$ ,

$$c_1't^{\alpha} < \frac{\mu(B_{tr}(x))}{\mu(B_r(x))} < c_2't^{\alpha}$$

**Prove the Proposition** (we proved the upper bound in the first inequality in class, you only need to justify the lower bound).

From now on we work in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X, \mu, \alpha, c_1, c_2$  be as in the proposition.

**Lemma 10.** For all sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$  (depending on  $\mu$ ) the following holds. Let  $x \in X$ , r > 0 and let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a point  $x' \in X \cap B_r(x)$  such that  $B_{\beta r}(x') \subseteq B_r(x) \setminus B_{\beta r}(y)$ .

#### Prove the lemma.

**Proposition 11.** There exists a (small) c > 0, depending on  $\mu$ , so that for every sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  the following holds. Let  $x \in X$ , r > 0 and let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a set with  $|y - y'| \ge \delta r/c$  for distinct  $y, y' \in Y$ . Then there is a subset  $X' \subseteq X$  such that the family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{\delta r}(x')\}_{x' \in X'}$  is pairwise disjoint,  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \subseteq B_r(x) \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y} B_{\delta r}(y)$ , and  $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{B}) > c \cdot \mu(B_r(x))$ .

**Prove the proposition** (Suggestion: Apply the Besicovitch lemma to the family  $\{B_{\delta r}(z): z \in X, B_{\delta r}(z) \subseteq B_r(x)\}$  and use the previous lemma. It may simplify things to write out the statement for r=1 first).

Let

$$\Gamma_c = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - \frac{m}{n}| \ge \frac{c}{n^2} \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

so  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{c>0} \Gamma_c$ . In order to prove that  $\dim \Gamma \cap X = \dim \Gamma$  for every self-similar set X satisfying the open set condition, it is enough to show that  $\dim X \cap \Gamma_c \to \dim X$  as  $c \searrow 0$ .

Fix X and  $\mu$ . Let c be the constant from Proposition 11, and let  $\delta = 1/M^2$  for a sufficiently large  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  that the proposition applies. Construct by induction on  $k \geq 0$  a treelike system  $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}$ , with  $\mathcal{A}_k$  consisting of disjoint balls of radius  $c/M^{2k}$  centered in X, and such that  $\Delta_k \geq c$ . Start with an arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{B_c(x_0)\}$ . For the induction step, assume that we have defined  $\mathcal{A}_k$  already, and apply Proposition 11 to each ball  $B_r(x) \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and the set

$$Y_k = \{ \frac{m}{n} : M^k < n \le M^{k+1} \}$$

(use elementary algebra to show that  $Y_k$  has the required properties.) Take  $A_{k+1}$  to be the collection of resulting balls.

Verify that  $\{A_k\}$  has the stated properties and is treelike, and that  $A_{\infty} \subseteq X \cap \Gamma_{c/M^2}$ . Use Proposition 8 to prove that dim  $A_{\infty} \to \dim X$  as the parameter  $M \to \infty$ .

**Derive** Theorem 7 (you may use Proposition 1 also if you did not prove it).

Remark 12. There are a few special cases where one can also show that  $X \setminus \Gamma$  is large (in fact has positive dim X-dimensional Hausdorff measure); for example this is the case for the middle-1/3 Cantor set.