## ANALYSIS 1 - SOLUTION FOR EXERCISE 5

**Question 1, part (a):** If  $p = \infty$  then r = q and f is essentially bounded, and so the claim is obvious. In a similar manner this is true if  $q = \infty$ , hence assume  $p, q < \infty$ . From  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$  we get  $1 = \frac{r}{p} + \frac{r}{q}$ , so from Holder's inequality

$$\int |fg|^r \, d\mu \le (\int (|f|^r)^{p/r} \, d\mu)^{r/p} \cdot (\int (|g|^r)^{q/r} \, d\mu)^{r/q} = \|f\|_p^r \cdot \|g\|_q^r,$$

which shows that  $fg \in L^r(\mu)$  and  $||fg||_r \le ||f||_p \cdot ||g||_q$ .

**Part** (b): Assume first that  $p_1, ..., p_n < \infty$ . We shall prove by induction on n that

$$\int |\prod_{k=1}^n f_k| \, d\mu \le \prod_{k=1}^n (\int |f_k|^{p_n} \, d\mu)^{1/p_n} \, .$$

The base case n = 1 is trivial. Assume n > 1 and that the claim holds for n - 1. Set  $q = (\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p_k})^{-1}$ , then  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p_n} = 1$ , and so from Holder's inequality

(0.1) 
$$\int |\prod_{k=1}^{n} f_k| \, d\mu \le \left(\int |\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k|^q \, d\mu\right)^{1/q} \cdot \left(\int |f_n|^{p_n} \, d\mu\right)^{1/p_n}$$

Since  $\frac{q}{p_1} + \ldots + \frac{q}{p_{n-1}} = 1$  it follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$\int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} |f_k|^q \, d\mu \le \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\int |f_k|^{p_k} \, d\mu)^{q/p_k} \, d\mu$$

This together with (0.1) completes the induction. It now follows that

$$\|f\|_{1} = \int |f| \, d\mu \le \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\int |f_{k}|^{p_{n}} \, d\mu)^{1/p_{n}} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \|f_{k}\|_{p_{k}} < \infty$$

and so  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . If  $1 \leq k \leq n$  is such that  $p_k = \infty$  then  $\frac{1}{p_k} = 0$  and  $f_k$  is essentially bounded, and so the general case follows easily from what we have just proven.

**Question 2:** Assume first that  $r < \infty$ , then for  $p \in [s, r]$ 

$$\int |f|^p \, d\mu \le \int_{\{|f|\le 1\}} |f|^s \, d\mu + \int_{\{|f|>1\}} |f|^r \, d\mu \le \|f\|_s^s + \|f\|_r^r < \infty,$$

and so  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . Assume  $r = \infty$ , then for  $p \in [s, \infty)$ 

$$\int |f|^p \, d\mu \le \int_{\{|f|\le 1\}} |f|^s \, d\mu + \int_{\{|f|>1\}} |f|^p \, d\mu \le \|f\|_s^s + \|f\|_\infty^p \cdot \mu\{f>1\} < \infty,$$

and so  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . We shall now show  $\Psi$  is convex. Let  $s \leq p < q \leq r$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  be given, assume  $q < \infty$ , and set u = tp + (1 - t)q. Since t + (1 - t) = 1 it follows from Holder's inequality that

$$\|f\|_{u}^{u} = \int |f|^{tp} \cdot |f|^{(1-t)q} \, d\mu \le (\int |f|^{p} \, d\mu)^{t} \cdot (\int |f|^{q} \, d\mu)^{1-t} = \|f\|_{p}^{tp} \cdot \|f\|_{q}^{(1-t)q} \, .$$

From this we get

$$\Psi(u) = \log \|f\|_u^u \le \log \left( \|f\|_p^{tp} \cdot \|f\|_q^{(1-t)q} \right) = t\Psi(p) + (1-t)\Psi(q),$$

which shows that  $\Psi$  is convex.

**Question 3:** First solution: From  $fg \ge 1$  we get  $\sqrt{fg} \ge 1$ , and so from Holder's inequality with p = q = 2 we get

$$1 = \int 1 \, d\mu \le \int \sqrt{fg} \, d\mu \le \left( \int f \, d\mu \cdot \int g \, d\mu \right)^{1/2},$$

which gives the desired conclusion.

Remark: when p = q = 2 the Holder's inequality is the same as the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Second solution: From  $fg \ge 1$  we get  $\log f + \log g \ge 0$ , hence  $\int \log f d\mu + \int \log g d\mu \ge 0$ , and so from  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$  and Jensen's inequality we get

$$1 \le \exp(\int \log f \, d\mu) \cdot \exp(\int \log g \, d\mu) \le \int f \, d\mu \cdot \int g \, d\mu \, .$$

Question 4, part (a): Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be with  $||1 + c|^p - 1| \le \epsilon$  for all  $|c| \le \delta$ . Since

$$\lim_{|c| \to \infty} \frac{||1 + c|^p - 1|}{|c|^p} = 1$$

we have

$$1 \le C_{\epsilon} := \sup\{\frac{||1+c|^p - 1|}{|c|^p} : |c| \ge \delta\} < \infty,$$

and so

$$||1+c|^p-1| \le \epsilon + C_{\epsilon}|c|^p$$
 for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \neq 0$  we can put  $c = \frac{b}{a}$  in the last inequality, and then multiply both sides by  $|a|^p$ . This gives

(0.2) 
$$||a+b|^p - |a|^p| \le \epsilon |a|^p + C_{\epsilon} |b|^p \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $n \ge 1$  define

$$g_n^{\epsilon} := \max\{ ||f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p - |f|^p| - \epsilon |f_n - f|^p, 0 \}.$$

If we apply (0.2) with  $a = f_n - f$  and b = f we get

$$||f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p - |f|^p| \le ||f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p| + |f|^p \le \epsilon |f_n - f|^p + (1 + C_{\epsilon})|f|^p,$$

hence  $g_n^{\epsilon} \leq (1+C_{\epsilon})|f|^p$ . From this, from  $||f||_p < \infty$ , from  $\lim_n g_n^{\epsilon} = 0$  pointwise, and from the dominated convergence theorem, we get  $\lim_n ||g_n^{\epsilon}||_1 = 0$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ there exists  $N \geq 1$  with  $||g_n^{\epsilon}||_1 < \delta$  for  $n \geq N$ , which shows that

$$\delta > \int g_n^{\epsilon} \, d\mu \ge \int ||f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p - |f|^p| \, d\mu - \epsilon \int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu \, .$$

Since this holds for all  $\delta > 0$  we obtain

$$\limsup_{n} \int ||f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p - |f|^p| \ d\mu \le \epsilon \cdot \limsup_{n} \int |f_n - f|^p \ d\mu \,.$$

Since this holds for all  $\epsilon>0$  and since for each  $n\geq 1$ 

$$\int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu = \|f_n - f\|_p^p \le (\|f_n\|_p + \|f\|_p)^p \le (\sup_k \|f_k\|_p + \|f\|_p)^p < \infty,$$

it follows that

$$0 = \lim_{n} \int ||f_{n}|^{p} - |f_{n} - f|^{p} - |f|^{p}| d\mu \ge \lim_{n} \left| ||f_{n}||_{p}^{p} - ||f_{n} - f||_{p}^{p} - ||f||_{p}^{p} \right|,$$

which completes the proof.

**Part** (b): Assume also that  $\lim_{n} ||f_n||_p = ||f||_p$ , then from part (a)

$$0 = \lim_{n} \left( \|f_n\|_p^p - \|f_n - f\|_p^p - \|f\|_p^p \right) = \lim_{n} \|f_n - f\|_p^p,$$

which shows that  $f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ .

**Question 5:** For  $1 \le p \le \infty$  set  $L^p = L^p(0,1)$ , and for  $n \ge 1$  set

$$E_n = \{ f \in L^2 : \|f\|_2^2 \le n \}$$

First we show that  $E_n$  is closed in  $L^1$ . Let  $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in E_n$  and  $f \in L^1$  be with  $f_n \xrightarrow{n} f$  in  $L^1$ , then there exists a subsequence with  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  with  $f_{n_k}(x) \xrightarrow{k} f(x)$  for  $\mathcal{L}eb$ -a.e.  $x \in (0, 1)$ . It now follows from Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 \, dx = \int_0^1 \lim_k |f_{n_k}(x)|^2 \, dx \le \liminf_k \int_0^1 |f_{n_k}(x)|^2 \, dx \le n,$$

so  $f \in E_n$ , and so  $E_n$  is closed in  $L^1$ . We shall now show that  $E_n$  is nowhere dense in  $L^1$ , since  $E_n$  is closed it suffice to show that  $L^1 \setminus L^2$  is dense in  $L^1$ . Let  $f \in L^1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, then since  $L^{\infty}$  is dense in  $L^1$  there exists  $g \in L^{\infty}$  with  $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be with  $\int_0^{\delta} x^{-1/2} dx < \epsilon$ , and let  $h \in L^1$  be such that  $h(x) = x^{-1/2} \cdot 1_{(0,\delta)}(x)$  for  $x \in (0,1)$ . From the Minkowski inequality we get

$$\infty = \|h\|_2 \le \|h + g\|_2 + \|g\|_2,$$

so  $||h + g||_2 = \infty$  (since  $||g||_2 < \infty$ ), and so  $h + g \in L^1 \setminus L^2$ . Since

$$\|f - h - g\|_1 \le \|f - g\|_1 + \|h\|_1 < 2\epsilon$$

we get that  $L^1 \setminus L^2$  is dense in  $L^1$ , and so  $E_n$  is nowhere dense in  $L^1$ . Since  $L^2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  it follows that  $L^2$  is of the first category in  $L^1$ , which is what we wanted to prove.

## Question 6: Set

$$l^{2} = \{\{x_{k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k}|^{2} < \infty\},\$$

then  $l^2$  is a Banach space (even a Hilbert space) with the norm  $||x||_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^2$ for  $x \in l^2$ . For every  $x \in l^2$  set  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k a_k$ , and for each  $n \ge 1$  let  $f_n \in (l^2)^*$ be with  $f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k a_k$  for  $x \in l^2$ . For each  $x \in l^2$  we have  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x)$ , hence from a corollary of the uniform boundedness theorem (proven in class) we get  $f \in (l^2)^*$ . Let  $\mu$  be the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $l^2 = L^2(\mu)$ . Since the dual space of  $L^2(\mu)$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(\mu)$  (proven in class), it follows that there exists  $y \in l^2$  with  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k x_k$  for  $x \in l^2$ . Given  $k \ge 1$  let  $e_k \in l^2$  be the standard k'th unit vector, then  $a_k = f(e_k) = y_k$ . This holds for all  $k \ge 1$ , hence  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = y \in l^2$ , and so  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$ , which is what we wanted to prove.

Question 7, part (a): Let  $f \in X$  be given, then for  $x \in [0,1]$ 

$$|Gf(x)| \le \int_0^x |g(t)f(t)| \, dt \le \int_0^1 |g(t)| \, dt \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} = \|g\|_1 \, \|f\|_{\infty} \, .$$

This shows that  $||Gf||_{\infty} \leq ||g||_1 ||f||_{\infty}$ , and so G is bounded with  $||G|| \leq ||g||_1$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $f_{\epsilon} \in X$  be with  $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{\overline{g(x)}}{|g(x)|+\epsilon}$  for  $x \in [0,1]$ . Since  $||f_{\epsilon}||_{\infty} \leq 1$  we have

$$||G|| \ge ||Gf_{\epsilon}||_{\infty} \ge |\int_{0}^{1} g(t)f_{\epsilon}(t) dt| = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{|g(t)|^{2}}{|g(t)| + \epsilon} dt$$

This holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , hence from the dominated convergence theorem

$$||G|| \ge \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \frac{|g(t)|^2}{|g(t)| + \epsilon} \, dt = \int_0^1 \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{|g(t)|^2}{|g(t)| + \epsilon} \, dt = ||g||_1$$

and so  $||G|| = ||g||_1$ .

**Part** (b): Set K = supp(g), we shall show that ker  $G = \{f \in X : f = 0 \text{ on } K\}$ . It is obvious that if  $f \in X$  satisfies f = 0 on K then  $f \in \ker G$ . Let  $f \in \ker G$  be given, then Gf(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and so for all  $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ 

$$0 = Gf(b) - Gf(a) = \int_a^b g(t)f(t) dt$$

Since  $g \cdot f$  is continuous it follows that we must have  $g \cdot f = 0$  on [0, 1], so f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ , and so f = 0 on K which is what we wanted.

**Part** (c): We shall show that range(G) = D, where

$$D := \{ f \in C^1([0,1]) : f(0) = 0 \}.$$

Let  $f \in G$ , then Gf(0) = 0 and (Gf)'(x) = g(x)f(x) for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so  $Gf \in D$ , and so  $range(G) \subset D$ . Let  $h \in D$  and let  $f \in X$  be with  $f(x) = \frac{h'(x)}{g(x)}$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ 

$$Gf(x) = \int_0^x g(t)f(t) \, dt = \int_0^x h'(t) \, dt = h(x) - h(0) = h(x) \, .$$

This shows that Gf = h, so  $h \in range(G)$ , so  $D \subset range(G)$ , and so D = range(G) as we wanted.