

ANALYSIS 1 - SOLUTION FOR EXERCISE 12

Question 1: Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} i\xi \widehat{T_H(\varphi)} &= \widehat{\frac{d}{dx} T_H(\varphi)} = \frac{d}{dx} T_H(\hat{\varphi}) = -T_H\left(\frac{d}{dx} \hat{\varphi}\right) \\ &= -\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dx} \hat{\varphi} \, dx = \hat{\varphi}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \varphi(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} T_1(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which shows $i\xi \widehat{T_H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} T_1$.

Question 2, part (a): Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t+2\pi j)| \, dt &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(t+2\pi j)| \, dt \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2\pi j}^{2\pi(j+1)} |f(t)| \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f| \, dt < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

it follows g is well defined and $g \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$.

Part (b): For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(t) e^{-int} \, dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t+2\pi j) e^{-int} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2\pi j}^{2\pi(j+1)} f(t) e^{-in(t-2\pi j)} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

From this and since $e^{-in(t-2\pi j)} = e^{-int}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{g}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-int} \, dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}(n).$$

Part (c): Claim: If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then the sum

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t+2\pi j)$$

converges uniformly (with all derivatives) to a smooth 2π -periodic function.

Proof: Note that, for any integer l ,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \sum_{|j| > J} |f(t+2\pi j)| \leq C_l J^{-l},$$

with a similar estimate for the series of derivatives (of any order). Thus the convergence is uniform and the Claim follows from the general following calculus proposition:

Proposition: Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite open interval and $\{\phi_k(t), t \in I\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^\infty(I)$ a sequence of smooth functions such that: (a) $\phi_k \xrightarrow{k} \phi$ uniformly on I , (b) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the derivatives of order j , $\{\phi_k^{(j)}(t), t \in I\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converge (uniformly in $t \in I$) to a function $\psi_j(t)$. Then $\phi \in C^\infty(I)$ and $\psi_j(t) = (\frac{d}{dt})^j \phi$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

The proof of the proposition is immediate. Pick $t_0 \in I$ and note that

$$\phi(t) - \phi(t_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\phi_k(t) - \phi_k(t_0)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \phi_k'(s) ds = \int_{t_0}^t \psi_1(s) ds,$$

all limits uniform in $t \in I$. It follows that $\phi'(t) \equiv \psi_1(t)$. This can be continued to all orders, namely, $\psi_1'(t) = \psi_2(t) \dots$

Part (d): Assume $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then from part (c) we get $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. From this and since g is 2π -periodic it follows $\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \hat{g}(n) = g(0)$. Now since $\hat{g}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \hat{f}(n) = g(0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty f(2\pi n),$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Question 3, part (a): For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ set $P(x) = x^2$, then since $\widehat{\delta_0} = \frac{T_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

$$\widehat{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \delta_0} = -P \cdot \widehat{\delta_0} = -\frac{P}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

It follows that for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\widehat{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \delta_0}(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \xi^2 \cdot \varphi(\xi) d\xi.$$

Part (b): Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} T_\chi(\varphi) = -T_\chi\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right) = -\int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, y) dx dy = \int_{-1}^1 \varphi(-1, y) - \varphi(1, y) dy,$$

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} T_\chi(\varphi) = \int_{-1}^1 \varphi(x, -1) - \varphi(x, 1) dx.$$

Question 4: Lemma: If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 0$, then $\psi(x) = \phi'(x)$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of the Lemma: Define $\phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \psi(t) dt$. Clearly the function is well-defined (because of the decay of ψ) and infinitely differentiable. Furthermore, for

any integer $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the decay $|\psi(t)| \leq C_l |t|^{-(l+1)}$, $t < -1$, implies by integration

$$|\phi(x)| \leq C_l |x|^{-l}, \quad x < -1.$$

Since $\phi'(x) = \psi(x)$, the rapid decay of derivatives follows from that of ψ (and its derivatives).

Now, to obtain a similar decay of $\phi(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, use the vanishing of the integral (over the line) of ψ to get

$$\phi(x) = - \int_x^\infty \psi(t) dt,$$

and repeat the above argument.

Part (a): Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be with $\int \omega dx = 1$ and set $c = v(\omega)$. Fix some $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and set $a = \int \psi dx$. Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi(y) - a \cdot \omega(y) dy = 0,$$

it follows from the lemma above that there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi' = \psi - a \cdot \omega$. It now holds that

$$0 = \frac{d}{dx} v(\varphi) = -v\left(\frac{d}{dx} \varphi\right) = -v(\psi - a\omega) = -v(\psi) + c \int \psi dx,$$

and so $v(\psi) = c \int \psi dx$. This shows $v = T_c$, which is what we wanted to prove.

Part (b): For $k \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ set $P_k(x) = x^k$. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ be with $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} u = T_{x^2}$, then $\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d}{dx} u - \frac{P_3}{3} \right) = 0$, and so from part (a) we get $\frac{d}{dx} u = \frac{P_3}{3} + T_{c_1}$ for some $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. In the same manner since $\frac{d}{dx} \left(u - \frac{P_4}{12} - c_1 P_1 \right) = 0$ it follows $u = \frac{P_4}{12} + c_1 P_1 + T_{c_2}$ for some $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have thus found all solutions for the equation $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} u = T_{x^2}$.