

## CURVES IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL EUCLIDEAN SPACE $\mathbb{R}^3$

MATANIA BEN-ARTZI

June 2015

NOTATION:

- If  $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$  then  $|a|$  is its Euclidean norm.
- For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the scalar product is denoted by  $a \cdot b$ .
- For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the vector product is denoted by  $a \times b$ .

We assume here that  $\gamma(t) : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a  $C^3$  curve (in particular, no discontinuities of the derivatives) and  $\gamma'(t) \neq 0$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$ . We refer to such a curve as a **regular curve**.

**Example 1.** The curve

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} (t, \exp(-\frac{1}{t^2}), 0), & t < 0, \\ (0, 0, 0), & t = 0, \\ (t, 0, \exp(-\frac{1}{t^2})), & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

is regular.

Note that it “bends” from the  $x - y$  plane ( $t < 0$ ) to the  $x - z$  plane ( $t > 0$ ).

**DEFINITION:** Let  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ . The function

$$s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t |\gamma'(u)| du, \quad t \in (a, b),$$

is an admissible change of parameter,  $s \in (\int_{t_0}^a |\gamma'(u)| du, \int_{t_0}^b |\gamma'(u)| du)$ .

The new parameter  $s$  is called the **arc length parameter**.

Note that

$$s(t) = \text{signed length of the curve segment } \{\gamma(u), u \in [t_0, t]\}.$$

Its inverse is denoted by  $t(s)$ .

**CLAIM.** The equivalent curve  $\gamma^*(s) = \gamma(t(s))$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \gamma^*(s) \right| = 1, \quad s \in \left( \int_{t_0}^a |\gamma'(u)| du, \int_{t_0}^b |\gamma'(u)| du \right).$$

We simplify the notation and write  $\gamma^*(s)$  as  $\gamma(s)$ .

**DEFINITION:** We refer to  $\gamma(s)$  as a *unit speed curve*.

**Note.** If a regular curve  $\gamma(t)$  satisfies  $|\gamma'(t)| \equiv 1$ , then actually  $t = s$  is the length parameter (up to a constant).

**Example 2.** The unit speed circular helix is

$$\gamma(s) = (r \cos(\omega s), r \sin(\omega s), h\omega s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\omega^2 = (r^2 + h^2)^{-1}$ .

In what follows, curves are parametrized by arc length  $s$ , unless explicitly stated otherwise.

\*\*\*\*\*

### The FRENET-SERRET System

\*\*\*\*\*

To simplify notation in what follows, we do not specify explicitly the domain of the parameter.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\gamma(s)$  be a unit speed curve. Then

$T(s) = \gamma'(s)$  is called the **unit tangent vector along the curve**.

The function  $\kappa(s) = |T'(s)|$  is called the **curvature along the curve**.

**CLAIM 1.** The curvature  $\kappa(s) \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\gamma(s)$  is a (segment of a) straight line.

*Proof.* A straight line is of the form  $\gamma(s) = \alpha s + \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Thus  $T(s) = \alpha = \text{constant}$ .

Conversely,  $\kappa(s) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow T'(s) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow T(s) = \text{constant} = \alpha$ , hence  $\gamma(s) = \alpha s + \beta$ .  $\square$

The following example complements this claim by giving a typical case where the curvature *never vanishes*.

**Example 3.** Let  $\gamma(s)$  be a regular unit speed curve, and assume that it lies on a sphere  $|x - x_0| = r$ . Then  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s$ .

Indeed, the condition means that  $|\gamma(s) - x_0|^2 \equiv r^2$ , and by two successive differentiations

$$T(s) \cdot (\gamma(s) - x_0) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow T'(s) \cdot (\gamma(s) - x_0) + |T(s)|^2 = T'(s) \cdot (\gamma(s) - x_0) + 1 \equiv 0.$$

*From now on we assume that  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$ .*

We regard  $s \rightarrow T(s) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  as a curve. Note that it lies on the unit sphere, but  $s$  is **not the arc length parameter** of this curve.

**CLAIM 2 (generalizing Example 3).** Let  $\delta(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth curve with  $|\delta(t)| \equiv 1$ . Then  $\delta'(t) \cdot \delta(t) \equiv 0$ , namely,  $\delta'(t) \perp \delta(t)$  for all  $t$ .

(Observe that here  $t$  is in general not the arc length parameter).

*Proof.* Differentiate the equation  $\delta(t) \cdot \delta(t) \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION:** The unit vector  $N(s) = \kappa(s)^{-1}T'(s)$  is called the **normal along the curve**.

By Claim 2,  $N(s) \perp T(s)$  for all  $s$ .

**DEFINITION:** The unit vector  $B(s) = T(s) \times N(s)$  is called the **binormal along the curve**.

**DEFINITION:** The orthonormal system  $T(s), N(s), B(s)$  is called **The Frenet-Serret frame**. It is clearly a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that varies along the curve, following the "twists" of the curve in space.

**DEFINITION:** The scalar function  $\tau(s) = -B'(s) \cdot N(s)$  is called the **torsion of the curve**.

**DEFINITION:** The Frenet-Serret system  $T(s), N(s), B(s)$  combined with the two scalar functions  $\kappa(s), \tau(s)$ , is called the **Frenet-Serret apparatus** of the curve.

**THEOREM (The Frenet-Serret Equations).** Given a regular unit speed curve  $\gamma(s)$ , with  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$ , the following equations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} T'(s) &= \kappa(s)N(s), \\ N'(s) &= -\kappa(s)T(s) + \tau(s)B(s), \\ B'(s) &= -\tau(s)N(s). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first equation follows from the definition of  $N(s)$ .

For the second equation, note first that by Claim 2,  $N'(s) \perp N(s)$ .

For the other coefficients of  $N'(s)$  in the  $T, N, B$  system we have:

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}(T(s) \cdot N(s)) = T'(s) \cdot N(s) + T(s) \cdot N'(s) = \kappa(s) + T(s) \cdot N'(s),$$

and

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}(B(s) \cdot N(s)) = B'(s) \cdot N(s) + B(s) \cdot N'(s) = -\tau(s) + B(s) \cdot N'(s).$$

For the third equation, similarly,

$$\frac{d}{ds}(T(s) \cdot B(s)) = T'(s) \cdot B(s) + T(s) \cdot B'(s) = 0 + T(s) \cdot B'(s),$$

since  $T'(s) \cdot B(s) = \kappa(s)N(s) \cdot B(s) = 0$ .

Finally,  $N(s) \cdot B'(s) = -\tau(s)$  by definition.  $\square$

The geometric meaning of  $\tau(s)$  is made clear by the following claim, which complements Claim 1.

**CLAIM 3.** Let  $\gamma(s)$  be a regular unit speed curve. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1) It is a planar curve (namely, there exists a plane containing it).
- (2)  $B(s) \equiv B_0$ , where  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is a constant vector.
- (3)  $\tau(s) \equiv 0$ .

**REMARK.** Note that in view of Claim 1, if  $\kappa(s) \equiv 0$ , then the curve is a straight segment, hence planar. Thus, we can assume  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$ .

*Proof of Claim 3.* • (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In this case  $T(s)$ , hence also  $N(s)$ , are in this plane so  $B(s)$  = (constant) unit normal to the plane, which is  $B_0$ .

• (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). Both directions follow from the third F-S equation.

• (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Both  $T(s), N(s)$  are in the plane  $P$  perpendicular to  $B_0$ , and we can assume it contains some point on the curve, say  $\gamma(0)$ . Then

$$\gamma(s) - \gamma(0) = \int_0^s T(\tau) d\tau \in P.$$

$\square$

The following claim asserts that the two scalar functions  $\kappa(s)$ ,  $\tau(s)$ , determine the curve  $\gamma(s)$ .

**CLAIM 4.** Let  $\gamma^1(s)$ ,  $\gamma^2(s)$ ,  $s \in (l, L)$ , be two regular curves with Frenet-Serret apparatus  $T^1(s)$ ,  $N^1(s)$ ,  $B^1(s)$ ,  $\kappa^1(s)$ ,  $\tau^1(s)$ , and  $T^2(s)$ ,  $N^2(s)$ ,  $B^2(s)$ ,  $\kappa^2(s)$ ,  $\tau^2(s)$ , respectively, and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \quad \kappa^1(s) = \kappa^2(s), \quad \tau^1(s) = \tau^2(s), \quad s \in (l, L), \\ & \bullet \quad \gamma^1(s_0) = \gamma^2(s_0), \quad T^1(s_0) = T^2(s_0), \quad N^1(s_0) = N^2(s_0) \quad B^1(s_0) = B^2(s_0) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $s_0 \in (l, L)$ .

Then  $\gamma^1(s) = \gamma^2(s)$ ,  $s \in (l, L)$ .

*Proof.* Define a scalar function

$$f(s) = |T^1(s) - T^2(s)|^2 + |N^1(s) - N^2(s)|^2 + |B^1(s) - B^2(s)|^2.$$

Differentiating and using the F-S equations (note that curvatures and torsions are equal) it is easy to see that

$$\frac{d}{ds}f(s) = 0, \quad s \in (l, L).$$

Thus  $f(s) = \text{const}$ , and by assumption  $f(s_0) = 0$ , so  $f(s) \equiv 0$ .

It follows that  $T^1(s) \equiv T^2(s)$ , so

$$\gamma^1(s) = \gamma^1(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s T^1(\xi) d\xi = \gamma^2(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s T^2(\xi) d\xi = \gamma^2(s).$$

□

**REMARK.** The claim says that if two curves intersect at some point  $s_0$ , and they have there the same Frenet-Serret frame  $T(s_0)$ ,  $N(s_0)$ ,  $B(s_0)$ , and if their curvatures and torsions are identical (for all  $s$ ), then they coincide.

Note that if  $\gamma^1(s)$ ,  $\gamma^2(s)$ , are two regular curves, then by rigid translation and rotation we can “align” them at a given point, namely, they intersect at this point and their Frenet-Serret systems are equal there. Such transformations do not change the curvature and torsion (why?), so the claim can be rephrased:

**CLAIM 4’.** The curvature and torsion of a curve determine it uniquely, up to translation and rotation.

**Note.** The question if, for a given pair of continuous functions  $\kappa(s)$ ,  $\tau(s)$ , there exists a curve  $\gamma(s)$  so that these functions are, respectively, its curvature and torsion, is a question of *existence* of a solution to the linear system of the Frenet-Serret differential equations. The claim says that we can find *at most* one such curve.