

Erratum

Eigenfunction expansions and spacetime estimates for generators in divergence-form

[Reviews in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 22, No. 10 (2010) 1209–1240]

Matania Ben-Artzi
Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University,
Jerusalem 91904, Israel
mbartzi@math.huji.ac.il

Received 3 July 2014 Published 17 July 2014

Let $H = -\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \partial_j a_{j,k}(x) \partial_k$, where $a_{j,k}(x) = a_{k,j}(x)$, be a formally self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. The notations $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ and $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are used throughout the paper.

We assume that the real measurable matrix function $a(x) = \{a_{j,k}(x)\}_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$ satisfies, with some positive constants $a_1 > a_0 > 0, \Lambda_0 > 0$,

$$a_0 I \le a(x) \le a_1 I, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 (1)

$$a(x) = I$$
 for $|x| > \Lambda_0$. (2)

The first theorem proved in the paper (see Sec. 3) was the following.

Theorem A. Suppose that a(x) satisfies (1), (2). Then the operator H satisfies the Limiting Absorption Principle (LAP) in \mathbb{R} . More precisely, let s > 1 and consider the resolvent $R(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, as a bounded operator from $L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then:

- (a) R(z) is bounded with respect to the $H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm. Using the density of $L^{2,s}$ in $H^{-1,s}$, we can therefore view R(z) as a bounded operator from $H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (b) The operator-valued functions, defined respectively in the lower and upper halfplanes,

$$z \to R(z) \in B(H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad s > 1, \ \pm \text{Im} \, z > 0,$$
 (3)

can be extended continuously from $C^{\pm} = \{z/\pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ to $\overline{C^{\pm}} = C^{\pm} \cup \mathbb{R}$ (with respect to the operator-norm topology of $B(H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$).

In the case n=2, replace $H^{-1,s}$ by $H_0^{-1,s}$.

In this generality (with respect to the coefficients $a_{j,k}(x)$), however, it is still possible to have a discrete sequence of eigenvalues embedded in the continuous spectrum. In order to exclude such eigenvalues (as in the statement of the theorem), one needs the coefficients to be Lipschitz continuous. Hence the correct theorem is the following.

Theorem A-Modified. Suppose that a(x) satisfies (1), (2). Then the operator H satisfies the Limiting Absorption Principle (LAP) in \mathbb{R} . More precisely, let s > 1 and consider the resolvent $R(z) = (H-z)^{-1}$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, as a bounded operator from $L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then:

- (a) R(z) is bounded with respect to the $H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm. Using the density of $L^{2,s}$ in $H^{-1,s}$, we can therefore view R(z) as a bounded operator from $H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (b) There exists (at most) a discrete sequence $\Lambda \subseteq (0, \infty)$, having no finite accumulation points (and, in particular, does not accumulate at zero), of eigenvalues of H.

The operator-valued functions, defined respectively in the lower and upper half-planes,

$$z \to R(z) \in B(H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad s > 1, \ \pm \text{Im} \ z > 0,$$
 (4)

can be extended continuously from $C^{\pm} = \{z/\pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ to $\overline{C^{\pm}} \setminus \Lambda = C^{\pm} \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda)$ (with respect to the operator-norm topology of $B(H^{-1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}^n))$).

In the case n = 2 replace $H^{-1,s}$ by $H_0^{-1,s}$.

(c) If in addition to (1), (2) the coefficients $a_{j,k}(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous, then there are no positive embedded eigenvalues, namely, $\Lambda = \emptyset$.

Outline of modified proof. We need to modify the argument in Sec. 5, in the paragraph following Eq. (5.11).

Thus, suppose first that $z_0 > 0$. Note that u vanishes for $|x| > \Lambda_0 + 2$. If the coefficients are Lipschitz continuous, then the unique continuation property holds [4] and we get $u \equiv 0$, which leads to a contradiction as in the paper. Thus part (c) is proved.

However, if the coefficients only satisfy (1), (2), then an example by Filonov [3] shows that the unique continuation property does not hold and the function u can still be a nontrivial eigenfunction of H, with the eigenvalue z_0 . Note that it is compactly supported.

Let $K \subseteq (0, \infty)$ be a compact interval with $z_0 \in K$.

From the equation $Hu = z_0u$, we obtain (no weights are needed due to the compact support),

$$||u||_1 \le C||u||_0$$
.

The constant C > 0 depends only on K. By a classical argument of Agmon [1] the last estimate implies that any set of eigenfunctions of H, with eigenvalues in K, is compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It must therefore be finite, and that establishes part (b).

Also, $z_0 = 0$ cannot be an eigenvalue, since Hu = 0 implies $\nabla u = 0$, which in turn entails u = 0.

Finally, we show that there are no eigenvalues in $(0, \delta)$, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Indeed, by the Poincaré inequality there exists c > 0 such that, for all H^1 functions supported in $|x| < \Lambda_0 + 2$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_0^2 \ge c\|u\|_0^2$$
.

Let $0 < \delta < ca_0$. Now if $z_0 \in (0, \delta)$ is an eigenvalue, and u is the associated normalized eigenfunction, then $(Hu, u) = z_0(u, u) < \delta$. On the other hand we have by (1),

$$(Hu, u) \ge a_0 \|\nabla u\|_0^2 \ge ca_0 > \delta,$$

which is a contradiction to the preceding inequality.

Acknowledgment

I thank Professor Hendrik Vogt for pointing out the error discussed in this erratum and bringing to my attention the paper of Filonov cited here.

References

- [1] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa* **2** (1975) 151–218.
- [2] G. Alessandrini, Strong unique continuation for general elliptic equations in 2D, J. Math. Anal. App. 386 (2012) 669-676.
- [3] N. Filonov, Second-order elliptic equation of divergence form having a compactly supported solution, J. Math. Sci. 106 (2001) 3078–3086.
- [4] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Carleman estimates and unique continuation for second order elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001) 339–360.