

# СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ MATEMATИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

http://semr.math.nsc.ru ISSN 1813-3304

 Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. B31-B45 (2024)
 УДК 519.6

 https://doi.org/10.33048/semi.2024.21.B03
 MSC 35A01, 65L10, 65L12, 65L20, 65L70

## CONSISTENCY OF HIGH-ORDER GODUNOV SCHEMES

## M. BEN-ARTZI

Dedicated to the memory of Sergey Godunov

**Abstract:** This paper focuses on a rigorous treatment of consistency of high order numerical fluxes in dealing with nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws. It demonstrates how a clear understanding of consistency leads to *stability and convergence*. The classical paper [18] by S. K. Godunov had a revolutionary effect on the field of numerical simulations of compressible fluid flows. Its novelty was the suggestion to use local analytic solutions in the construction of numerical fluxes. The seminal paper of van Leer [32] has inaugurated the period of universal interest in high-resolution extensions of Godunov's scheme. The fundamental step consists of modifying the (locally) self-similar solution to the Riemann Problem (at discontinuities) by allowing piecewise polynomial (rather than piecewise constant) initial data. The GRP (Generalized Riemann Problem) analysis [2] provided analytical solutions (for piecewise linear data) that could be readily implemented in a high-resolution robust code. The first significant observation made here is that under very mild conditions the associated fluxes are Lipschitz continuous with respect to the spatial coordinates. It entails the result that a weak solution is indeed a solution to the corresponding balance law (obtained by a formal application of the Gauss-Green formula), thus closing the gap between the mathematical notion of a "weak solution" and the formalism of "balance law" (integral formulation) favored by fluid dynamicists. Since high-resolution schemes require

Ben-Artzi, M., Consistency of high-order Godunov schemes. (C) 2024 Ben-Artzi M.

Received November, 1, 2024, Published December, 31, 2024.

the computation of several quantities per mesh cell (e.g., slopes), the notion of "flux consistency" must be extended to this framework. Mathematically, it is the adaption of the Lax-Wendroff methodology in the setting of discontinuous solutions. A combination of the appropriate consistency hypothesis and stability of the scheme leads to a convergence theorem, generalizing the classical convergence theorem of Lax and Wendroff [20].

**Keywords:** balance laws, hyperbolic conservation laws, finite volume approximations, flux regularity, consistency, high order resolution, convergence.

## 1 Introduction

The seminal paper [18] by S. K. Godunov had a revolutionary effect on the field of numerical simulations of compressible fluid flows. However the initial evolution of this effect was quite slow, when compared to other parallel advances related to the numerical simulations of fluid flows (e.g., vortex methods or finite elements). Eight years after Godunov's publication, the classical book of R.D. Richtmyer and K.W. Morton characterized his paper as follows [25, Section 12.15]:

"In 1959, Godunov described an ingenious method for one-dimensional problems with shocks." Yet, later on in the same section, they add the comment: "The method appears to have been extensively used in the Soviet Union."

The principles underlying the Godunov approach can be described as follows.

#### PRINCIPLES OF THE GODUNOV APPROACH

•Determining consistent numerical fluxes based on a local solution

of the exact system.

•Invoking upwinding in computing the fluxes,

i.e. selecting a unique local entropy solution.

•Updating the numerical solution via a discretized

balance law, rather than a finite difference scheme.

The next landmark was the paper of van Leer [32]. He introduced the MUSCL scheme (see also [8, 9, 33]) and inaugurated the period of universal interest in high-resolution extensions of Godunov's scheme. When dealing with generalizations of the Godunov scheme, the obvious first step to take is to modify the (locally) self-similar solution to the Riemann Problem (at discontinuities) by allowing piecewise polynomial (rather than piecewise constant) initial data.

We focus here on a close inspection of the consistency of numerical fluxes and their role in securing a "Godunov-type" high-resolution approximate solution. The "common wisdom" is that the Godunov scheme is "first order" while the MUSCL scheme is "second order". In fact, our claim here (see Proposition 3 below) is that the Godunov scheme is first order only when applied to smooth data. Otherwise, it is either of "infinite order" (when used for piecewise-constant data) or "zero order" (when used for piecewise-linear data). For the MUSCL (or GRP) scheme we conclude that they are secondorder only when applied to smooth data (see Proposition 4 below), while for general data they are only first order.

The concept of *consistency* of numerical fluxes is studied in the framework of piecewise polynomial approximations (see Section 4). A fundamental fact is that the (spacetime) fluxes associated with any weak solution are Lipschitz continuous (see Section 2). They serve therefore as natural candidates for approximation. More explicitly, it is clear that a discontinuous function is less amenable to be reasonably approximated by regular functions. Thus, the flux associated with the exact solution is naturally approximated by an analytical evaluation of a flux associated with initial piecewise polynomial data. This is the basis of the GRP (Generalized Riemann Problem) method, as a direct analytical extension of the Godunov flux.

To complete the picture we briefly review in Section 6 how the systematic treatment of consistency, combined with appropriate stability hypothesis, leads to a "generalized Lax-Wendroff" [20] convergence theorem.

We mention that a plethora of other schemes have been proposed with the aim of obtaining approximate (less expensive in computational power) solutions to the GRP, while attempting to preserve the high resolution feature. We refer to [34, 1] for comprehensive reviews of such schemes.

## 2 Fluxes – the heart of the matter

Hyperbolic conservation laws are often written in the divergence form of partial differential equations,

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where t is the time of variable,  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the divergence operator in terms of space variable  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_D)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  is the vector of conserved quantities and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$  is the matrix of fluxes

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}), \cdots, f_D(\mathbf{u})) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^D,$$
(2)

and each  $f_i(\mathbf{u})$  is an *m*-vector. We only assume that the flux  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$  is locally bounded as the function of  $\mathbf{u}$ .

Since classical solutions of (1) in general break down and discontinuities appear in the solutions even when subject to very smooth initial data

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{x}),\tag{3}$$

we resort to the notion of a weak solution of (1) and (3), namely, the solution is defined in distributional sense:

**Definition 1** (Weak solutions). Let  $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty} \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^m; [0, T))$ . It is a weak solution of (1) and (3) if it satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Re^m} [\mathbf{u}\phi_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)] d\mathbf{x} dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad (4)$$

for all smooth test functions  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0,T))$ . Note that  $\phi$  is a D-vector.

Alternatively, following the physical point-of-view, one has two approaches to *integral balance laws* in making sense for a solution of (1). We proceed to present these approaches.

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  be a bounded domain,  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ , and  $0 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 < T$ . Let  $\nu$  be the outward unit normal. We formally apply the Gauss-Green theorem and carry out the integration of (1) in space to have:

## **Definition 2** (Instant Integral balance law). Let the function $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}((0,T); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)) \cap L^{\infty}([0,T); L^1(\mathbb{R}^m))$ . Assume that for every bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

- (i) For every  $t \in [0, T)$ , the total mass  $M(\Omega, t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}$  over  $\Omega$  is well defined and continuously differentiable function of t.
- (ii) The time dependent function

$$h(\Gamma;t) := \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \Gamma = \partial\Omega, \tag{5}$$

(  $dS_{\mathbf{x}}$  is surface Lebesgue measure) is well-defined and continuous in time t. Note that h is a D-vector.

Then if  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad t \in (0, T)$$
(6)

we say that  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)$  satisfies the instant balance law of (1).

**Remark 1.** In the context of theoretical continuum mechanics the quantity  $\int_A \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}}$  across a section  $A \subseteq \Gamma$  is called the Cauchy flux (across A) and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu$  is its density.

We can go further and integrate (6) (formally) over any time interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ , obtaining the following spacetime integral balance law.

**Definition 3** (Spacetime integral balance laws). Let the function  $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}((0,T); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)) \cap L^{\infty}([0,T); L^1(\mathbb{R}^m))$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_2) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_1) d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}} dt, \quad 0 \le t_1 < t_2 < T,$$
(7)

is called the spacetime balance law of (1) if Equation (7) holds, subject to the following conditions.

- (1)  $M(\Omega, t)$  (see (i) in Definition 2) is continuous in t.
- (2) The spacetime flux across the boundary  $\Gamma$  over time interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ ,

$$h(\Gamma; t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}} dt$$
(8)

is well-defined and continuous with respect to suitable perturbations of the boundary  $\Gamma$ .

**Remark 2.** In analogy to the Cauchy flux, we call

$$H(A;t_1,t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_A \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu dS_{\mathbf{x}} dt, \quad A \subseteq \Gamma,$$
(9)

the spacetime flux through the boundary section A.

The validity of the conditions in Definitions 2 and 3, especially in what concerns the fluxes (5) and (8) is far from obvious. Note that the solution of (1) is typically discontinuous and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$  is nonlinear so that the traces of fluxes need to be attended. Recall the following comment concerning this issue: "the drawback of this, functional analytic, demonstration is that it does not provide any clues on how the  $q_{\mathcal{D}}$  may be computed from A''[13], Section 1.3], where  $q_{\mathcal{D}}$  refers to the flux density, and A is the Cauchy flux. We refer to [10, 19, 27] for detailed studies of this issue.

In the next section we deal with the continuity of the spacetime flux with respect to space perturbation and, as a consequence, the validity of the spacetime integral balance law (7) for a weak solution.

## 3 Regularity of fluxes admits integral balance laws

In this section we follow [7] in establishing (7) for weak solutions in the multidimensional case. In the case of one spatial coordinate this result was obtained in [6]. We start from  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and construct a tubular neighborhood [29] with the following properties. For some small  $0 < \delta < 1$  there exists a family of expanding smooth bounded domains  $\{\Omega_{\mu} \subseteq \Re^{m}, \mu \in (-\delta, 1 - \delta)\}$  so that their respective boundaries  $\{\Gamma_{\mu}, \mu \in (-\delta, 1 - \delta)\}$  form a foliation of a tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma_0$ . The coordinate  $\mu$  is normal to  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  so that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} = \nu_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the unit normal. Denote by  $dS_{\mu}$  the Labesgue surface measure on  $\Gamma_{\mu}, \mu \in (-\delta, 1 - \delta)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Re^m \times (0,T)) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Re^m \times [0,T))$  be a weak solution of (1) in the sense of Definition 1. Suppose that For every  $t \in [0,T)$ , and every bounded domain  $\Omega \subseteq \Re^m$ , the total mass  $M(\Omega,t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) d\mathbf{x}$  over  $\Omega$  is well defined and is a continuous function of t.

Then we have

(i) For every  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ , the function  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}; t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)) dt$  satisfies  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}; t_1, t_2) \in L_{loc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m).$  (10) (ii) For every smooth domain and the geometric construction  $\{\Omega_{\mu}\}$  the trace function defined by

$$h(\mu; t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \int_{\Gamma_{\mu}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nu_{\mu} dS_{\mu} \right] dt, \quad \mu \in (-\delta, 1 - \delta)$$
(11)

is Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Furthermore, Eq. (7) holds for every  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ .

Since a considerable part of the theoretical and numerical studies are still carried out in the one-dimensional case (m = 1), it is useful to state the form of the theorem in this case.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)) \cap L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T))$  be the weak solution to one-dimensional conservation laws

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$
(12)

Suppose that For every  $t \in [0,T)$ , and every interval  $\Omega = [x_1, x_2] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the total mass  $M(\Omega, t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}$  over  $\Omega$  is well defined and is a continuous function of t. Then we have:

- (i) For every fixed  $[t_1, t_2]$ , the spacetime flux  $\mathbf{g}(x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x, t)) dt$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in  $x \in \Re$ .
- (ii) the spacetime integral balance law holds over a spacetime domain  $Q = [x_1, x_2] \times [t_1, t_2]$ :

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \mathbf{u}(x,t_2) dx - \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \mathbf{u}(x,t_1) dx = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_1,t)) dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_2,t)) dt.$$
(13)

The proof can be found in [7] for Theorem 1 and in [6] for Theorem 2, relying on Sobolev estimates in  $W^{1,p}$  to get Lipschitz continuity. This regularity property of spacetime fluxes is in sharp contrast to that of the Cauchy flux [27, 10] since the discontinuity of the solution does not allow a straightforward definition of the trace of  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$  on  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ .

## 4 Discretized fluxes and their consistency

In view of Theorems 1 and 2 the spacetime flux (8) is indeed continuous, while the instantaneous Cauchy flux (5), which is formally the time derivative of the spacetime flux is in general not well defined. We conclude that the spacetime flux should be used for the approximation, implying that the resulting finite volume scheme is fully discrete. In what follows we restrict to the 1-D case.

4.1. 1D finite volume schemes. The integral balance law (7) is at the basis of the finite volume approximation to the conservation law (1). We first discuss the discretization in the one-dimensional setting, using a uniform grid. Let  $\tau = \Delta t$  be a fixed time step. The spatial control volumes (intervals)

are  $I_j = (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), j \in \mathbb{N}, \Delta x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ , and the spacetime control volumes are

$$Q_j^n = I_j \times (t_n, t_{n+1}), \quad t_{n+1} = t_n + \tau.$$
 (14)

We denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the functional space of solutions of (12) and by  $V^k \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  a finite dimensional subspace of order k when restricted to each  $I_j$ . In order to define the finite volume approximation, we need first to define approximate fluxes. We assume that there is a unique "entropy" solution, denoted by  $\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi) = S(t)\xi \in \mathcal{U}, 0 < t < \tau$ , subject to the initial data  $\xi \in V^k$ . Due to the semigroup property of solutions to (12), we can focus our discussion on the interval  $[0, \tau)$ .

**Definition 4** (1-D Approximate flux). Let  $\{\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t), 0 \leq t < \tau\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a family of D-dimensional functions of t. They are approximate fluxes (in the time interval  $[0, \tau)$ ) corresponding to the initial function  $\xi \in V^k$ , if the following finite propagation property is satisfied for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (i)  $\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t), \ 0 \leq t < \tau, \ depends \ only \ on \ the \ restriction \ of \ \xi \ to \ I_j \cup I_{j+1}.$
- (ii) If  $\tilde{\xi} \equiv c = const.$  in  $I_j \cup I_{j+1}$  then  $\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{\alpha}}^{\xi}(t) \equiv \mathbf{f}(c).$

Next we define the consistency of the approximate fluxes.

**Definition 5** (Consistency in 1D). The approximate flux  $\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t)$  is consistent of order q > 0 with the balance law (13) if there holds, for any  $\xi \in V^k$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt - \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi) dt - \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi) dt \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{2+q}).$$
(15)

**Remark 3.** Observe that the order of consistency strongly depends on the order of the approximating subspace  $V^k$ . This is clearly demonstrated in the case of the fundamental Godunov flux below.

The finite volume approximation to (13) is now presented in terms of the approximate fluxes.

**Definition 6** (1D Finite Volume Approximation).

- (i) Let {F<sup>ξ</sup><sub>j+1/2</sub>(t); 0 < t < τ} be approximate fluxes consistent with (13) of order q > 0 in the sense of Definition 5.
- (ii) Let  $\widetilde{S}(\tau): V^k \to \mathcal{U}$  be an approximate evolution operator associated with the approximate fluxes such that

$$\int_{I_j} \widetilde{S}(\tau) \xi dx - \int_{I_j} \xi dx + \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt - \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt = 0, \quad (16)$$
  
for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(iii) There exists a projection map  $P^k : \mathcal{U} \to V^k$  such that the average is preserved

$$\int_{I_j} P^k \xi(x) dx = \int_{I_j} \xi(x) dx, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(17)

Then a family of maps  $\{\Phi^k : V^k \to V^k\}$  is finite volume scheme for the conservation law (12) if

$$\Phi^k = P^k \widetilde{S}(\tau). \tag{18}$$

Thus given initial data  $\mathbf{u}(x,0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x) \in \mathcal{U}$  to (12), we construct the sequence of finite volume approximate solutions by taking first  $\mathbf{u}^0(x) = P^k \mathbf{u}_0(x)$  and then proceed for  $n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ , by

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1}(x) = \Phi^k \mathbf{u}^n(x). \tag{19}$$

**4.2.** Consistency of fluxes: Godunov and beyond. It is clear that the error of a finite volume approximation comes from two parts: the flux approximation and the projection. The literature concerning the projection error (i,e. slope-limiters) is quite extensive. Here we concentrate on the flux approximation, which strongly depends on the space of approximation.

**Godunov flux.** We first assume that the initial data  $\xi(x) \in V^0$  is piecewise constant

$$\mathbf{u}_0(x) = \xi(x) = \mathbf{u}_j^0, \quad x \in I_j.$$
(20)

Then (assuming a CFL condition) the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi)$  is constant for  $0 < t < \tau$  and can be obtained by solving the local Riemann problem. The value is denoted by  $\mathbf{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} := R(0; \mathbf{u}_j^0, \mathbf{u}_{j+1}^0)$ . The Godunov flux is defined as [18]

$$\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi,G}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(21)

Hence, if  $\xi \in V^0$ , the Godunov flux fully agrees with the exact flux for the piecewise constant initial data (20) and no error exists. Formally, it means that the order of consistency of the Godunov flux is  $q = \infty$ !

In general, as  $\xi(x) \in V^k$ , the Godunov flux uses the leading term for the approximation. To be more precise, the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi)$  is no longer constant for  $0 < t < \tau$  and the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x, t; \xi)$  along  $x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$  can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi) = \mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) + t \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) + \mathcal{O}(t^2),$$
(22)

and

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{t};\xi)) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{0}+;\xi)) + \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{0}+;\xi)) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{0}+;\xi)\mathbf{t} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{t}^2)$$
(23)

The Godunov flux uses the leading term of the expansion (23),

$$\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi,G}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \mathbf{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi).$$
(24)

Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi,G}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi)) dt = \frac{\tau^{2}}{2} \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{3}).$$
(25)

Taking the difference of the two boundary values

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi,G}(t)dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi,G}(t)dt - \left[\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi))dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi))dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi)dt - \int_{0$$

If the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi)$  is smooth the difference in the right-hand side of (26) contributes (via the CFL condition) another factor of  $\tau$ . Otherwise, the error is  $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ . We therefore arrive at the following conclusion.

**Proposition 3** (Godunov Flux). Assume that  $\xi(x) \in V^k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Then the Godunov scheme has first order accuracy for smooth solutions but just zero order if the solution contains discontinuities.

First order flux approximation. In practice, for the given initial data  $\xi(x) \in V^k$ , there is an alternative way of defining first order flux approximations [17],

$$\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{-}) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{+})) - \frac{\alpha}{2\lambda}(\mathbf{u}_{+} - \mathbf{u}_{-}), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\pm} := \xi(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\pm), \quad (27)$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\lambda = \tau / \Delta x$ . If we try to obtain its order of consistency as in Definition 5 we get

$$\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t)dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t)dt\right]$$

$$-\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi))dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi))dt\right] = \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{u}_{+}-\mathbf{u}_{-}|)\tau.$$
(28)

Thus the error is estimated in terms of the total variation  $TV(\mathbf{u})$ . This is true even for  $\xi(x) \in V^0$ . In general it cannot be converted to estimates in terms of  $\tau$  due to discontinuities. Furthermore, while for scalar conservation laws the total variation is not increasing [13], this is not true for hyperbolic systems, where solutions involve very complex wave interactions. We conclude that for such approximate fluxes the order of consistency (even the notion of consistency) cannot be addressed in our framework.

High order flux approximations As discussed above, in order to achieve high order accuracy, we have to adopt high order flux approximation  $\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ . It is precisely here that we can use the Lipschitz continuity of

fluxes as expressed in Theorem 2. Indeed, the theorem guarantees that the difference

$$\int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi)) dt - \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi)) dt$$

provides (using the CFL condition) a factor  $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$ . In view of Definition 5 this means that we can focus on one endpoint and attempt to obtain a high value of  $\alpha$  in the estimate of

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi)) dt = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{1+\alpha}),$$
(29)

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . The error is measured in terms of the temporal increment  $\tau$  or equivalently the spatial grid size  $\Delta x$ . Thanks to (22) and (23), we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial t}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)t + \dots + \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha}).$$
(30)

This is equivalent to the Taylor method for ordinary differential equations and requires the knowledge of the instantaneous values  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}, \cdots, \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{\alpha}}$ . In numerical approximations this approach is replaced by multi-stage methods [22], in order to avoid high order temporal derivatives.

In Section 5 we will see how the Taylor method can be implemented by introducing the generalized Riemann problem (GRP) methodology. In a suitable sense, it can be considered as a Lax-Wendroff approach (normally associated with analytic setting)) in a discontinuous nonlinear framework. The evaluation of temporal derivatives is carried out by using spatial slopes on the two sides of a discontinuity [3] and careful inspection of the propagation of the solution along characteristics (including shock formation).

#### 5 GRP fluxes-a necessary step for high resolution

The Lax-Wendroff approach was proposed in a finite difference version for hyperbolic conservation laws [20], assuming very regular solutions. Essentially it can be viewed as the numerical realization of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem for partial differential equations [14, Chapter 4]. In this section we show how an appropriate modification of it can be applied in our setting of discontinuous solutions. Specifically, this modification is used in the construction of approximate fluxes with high order of consistency. We shall do it only in the 1 - D setting. We therefore consider the 1 - D version of Equation (1):

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(31)

In general, solutions  $\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi)$  are known to develop discontinuities even for very smooth initial data  $\xi$ . In particular, the same is true for the fluxes  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi))$ . Nevertheless, in light of Theorem 2 the integral  $\int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi))dt$ is a Lipschitz function of x, hence it is legitimate to consider its point value at every fixed point, in particular the point  $x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ , that is a point of discontinuity of the initial data  $\xi$ . Then we are led to study the behavior of  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{i+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{t};\xi))$  as a function of  $t \in (0,\tau)$ .

It boils down to solving the Generalized Riemann Problem (GRP) [3, 5]) which we proceed to discuss.

Let  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+\frac{1}{2}},\mathbf{0}+;\xi)$  be the instantaneous value of the solution (obtained by solving a Riemann problem) and let

$$F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(0+) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}+; \xi)),$$
(32)

be the corresponding instantaneous flux.

Let  $\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi)$  be the instantaneous value of the time-derivative of the solution. From

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{t}; \xi))$$

$$= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)) + \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)t + \mathcal{O}(t^2),$$
(33)

it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(0+)dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi))dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi))\mathbf{u}_{t}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi)\tau^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{3})$$

Hence

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \left[ F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(0+) - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(0+) \right] dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \left[ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi)) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},t;\xi)) \right] dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi)) \mathbf{u}_{t}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi)) \mathbf{u}_{t}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) \right] \tau^{2}$$
$$+ \mathcal{O}(\tau^{3}). \tag{34}$$

If no regularity of the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t;\xi)$  is assumed (in particular, if it is discontinuous) then the approximate flux  $F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(0+)$  is only consistent of order zero (q = 0 in (15)). However, in regions where the solution is smooth the difference

$$\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) = \mathcal{O}(\tau),$$
(35)

thus raising the order of consistency to q = 1.

The remedy here is to upgrade the approximate flux (32) by adding the GRP solution, thus introducing the GRP fluxes.

**Definition 7** (**GRP Approximate Flux**). The GRP approximate flux is given by

$$F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)) + \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, 0+; \xi)t.$$
(36)

Now

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\xi}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t; \xi)) dt = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{3}).$$

In analogy to Proposition 3 we have here

**Proposition 4** (GRP flux). The order of consistency of the GRP flux is q = 1 in all cases. For smooth solutions we obtain second-order consistency (q = 2), since in analogy with (35)

$$\mathbf{f}''(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) - \mathbf{f}''(\mathbf{u}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi))\mathbf{u}_t(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}},0+;\xi) = \mathcal{O}(\tau)$$
(37)

Thus, when reduced to the smooth setting, the common statement about the second order consistency of this approximate flux is recovered. We note that this statement is valid also for the MUSCL flux.

In the final paragraphs of this section we outline this methodology as applied to Euler's system for compressible, nonisentropic flows, sort of a "flagship" representing nonlinear systems of conservation laws. We also point out a few related systems to which the GRP scheme has been successfully implemented.

5.1. Euler equations of compressible inviscid flow. As the prototype of hyperbolic conservation laws, the system of compressible Euler equations

$$\begin{cases}
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}, \\
(\rho \mathbf{v})_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} + p) = 0, \\
(\rho E)_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}(\rho E + p)) = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(38)

plays an important role in the development of theory, numerics and applications, where  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , p,  $E = |\mathbf{v}|^2/2 + e$  are the density, velocity, pressure and total energy, e is the internal energy.

As  $\xi(x) \in V^1$ , i.e.,  $\xi(x)$  is piecewise linear, the generalized Riemann problem (GRP) method was developed in [2, 3] and then improved in the direct Eulerian version [4]. As  $\xi(x) \in V^k$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the GRP method was extended in [24] to achieve high order approximate fluxes in the sense of (29).

Some remarks are in order.

- (i) There are acoustic versions of GRP methods provided that waves involved are weak so that the equations (38) could be linearized. The popular ADER solvers were developed along this line [30]. Hence the GRP method could be regarded, as already mentioned, as a nonlinear version of discontinuous Lax-Wendroff method.
- (ii) It is amazing to find that the GRP method effectively reflects the thermodynamics of compressible flows [23].
- (iii) There are extensions to various systems, e.g., the relativistic fluid dynamics [35] and the blood model [26]

## 6 Lax-Wendroff type Convergence

The notion of high order consistency of the approximate fluxes (Definition 5) is crucial in the study of the convergence of the approximate solutions to a solution of the balance law. We discuss it in the 1 - D case.

Applying the finite volume approximation (19), we construct the discrete sequence

$$\widetilde{\theta^{n+1}}(x) = \Phi^k(\widetilde{\theta^n}) \in V^k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$
(39)

The initial data is given by taking the projection of the initial function  $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ on the subspace  $V^k$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{U}$  is the functional space of solutions of (12) and  $V^k \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  a finite dimensional subspace of order k when restricted to each mesh interval  $I_j$ .

$$\theta^0 = \widetilde{\theta^0} = P^k u_0 \in V^k.$$
(40)

Observe that at each step  $\widetilde{\theta^n} \in V^k$  is discontinuous at cell boundaries  $x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$  since the element of  $V^k$  should preserve the average over  $I_j$ .

We shall further assume that these fluxes are consistent of order q > 0 (Definition 5).

It follows from Definition 6 (see Equation (16)) that for all grid intervals  $I_j$ ,

$$\int_{I_j} [\widetilde{\theta^{n+1}}(x) - \widetilde{\theta^n}(x)] dx$$

$$= -\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} [F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\widetilde{\theta^n}}(t-t_n) - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\widetilde{\theta^n}}(t-t_n)] dt, \quad -\infty < j < \infty.$$
(41)

We now construct an interpolation function (in spacetime)  $\Upsilon^k(x,t)$  as follows.

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon^{\tau}}(x,t) = \frac{1}{\tau} [(t_{n+1} - t)\widetilde{\theta^{n}}(x) + (t - t_{n})\widetilde{\theta^{n+1}}(x)], \quad t \in [t_{n}, t_{n+1}],$$

$$n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$
(42)

Observe that  $t_n = n\tau$  depends on  $\tau$ .

Instead of the classical Lax-Wendroff theorem [17, Section 3.1] we get here the following theorem. We refer to [6] for the proof. It should be pointed out that the hypotheses imposed in our theorem are quite analogous to those imposed in the original Lax-Wendroff theorem. Admittedly, from the viewpoint of a numerical practitioner they are not easy to validate.

**Theorem 5.** Assume that the FVS (39) is consistent of order q > 0. Let  $\{\tau_m \downarrow 0\}$  be a decreasing sequence of time steps. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $\{\widetilde{\Upsilon^{\tau_m}}(x,t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be the corresponding functions defined in (42). Suppose that

(i) The sequence  $\{\widetilde{\Upsilon_{\tau_m}}(x,t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^{\infty}([0,T], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

(ii) The sequence  $\{\widetilde{\Upsilon^{\tau_m}}(x,t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $C([0,T], L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$  to a function v(x,t) (in particular it is uniformly bounded in this space).

Then v(x,t) is a solution of the balance law (13) in the sense of Theorem 2.

## References

- M. Ben-Artzi, GRP A direct Godunov extension, J. Comput. Phys., 519 (2024), 113388. Zbl 7945352
- [2] M. Ben-Artzi, J. Falcovitz, A second-order Godunov-type scheme for compressible fluid dynamics, J. Comput. Phys., 55 (1984), 1-32. Zbl 0535.76070
- M. Ben-Artzi, J. Falcovitz, Generalized Riemann Problems in Computational Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] M. Ben-Artzi, J. Li, G. Warnecke, A direct Eulerian GRP scheme for compressible fluid flows, J. Comput. Phys., 218:1 (2006), 19-43. Zbl 1158.76375
- [5] M. Ben-Artzi, J. Li, Hyperbolic conservation laws: Riemann invariants and the generalized Riemann problem, Numer. Math., 106:3 (2007), 369-425. Zbl 1123.65082
- [6] M. Ben-Artzi, J. Li, Consistency of finite volume approximations to nonlinear hyperbolic balance laws, Math. Comput., 90:327 (2021) 141-169. Zbl 1452.65184
- [7] M. Ben-Artzi, J. Li, Regularity of fluxes in nonlinear hyperbolic balance laws, Commun. Appl. Math. Comput. 5:3 (2023), 1289-1298. Zbl 1538.35212
- [8] C. Berthon, Stability of the MUSCL schemes for the Euler equations, Comm. Math. Sci., 3:2 (2005), 133-157. Zbl 1161.65344
- [9] F. Bouchut, Ch. Bourdarias, B. Perthame, A MUSCL method satisfying all the numerical entropy inequalities, Math. Comput., 65:216 (1996), 1439-1461. Zbl 0853.65091
- [10] G.Q. Chen, G.E. Comi, M. Torres, Cauchy fluxes and Gauss-Green formulas for divergence measure fields over general open sets, Arch. Rat. Mech.Anal., 233:1 (2019), 87-166. Zbl 1428.26024
- [11] G.Q. Chen, H. Frid, Divergence-measure fields and hyperbolic conservation laws, Arch. Rat. Mech.Anal., 147:2 (1999), 89-118. Zbl 0942.35111
- [12] G.Q.Chen, W.Ziemer, M.Torres, Gauss-Green theorem for weakly differentiable vector fields, sets of finite perimeter, and balance laws, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 62:2 (2009), 242-304. Zbl 1158.35062
- [13] C.M. Dafermos, Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics. Fourth Edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Heidelberg, 2016.
- [14] L.C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1998. Zbl 0902.35002
- [15] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, R. Herbin, *Finite Volume Methods*, In P.G. Ciarlet, J.L. Lions,(eds.) "Handbook of Numerical Analysis", Vol. VII, pp. 713-1020, Amsterdam: North-Holland/ Elsevier, 2000. Zbl 0981.65095
- [16] H. Federer, Geometric Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1969. Zbl 0176.00801
- [17] E. Godlewski, P.A. Raviart, Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws, Paris: Ellipses, 1991. Zbl 0768.35059.
- [18] S.K. Godunov, A difference method for numerical calculation of discontinuous solutions of the equations of hydrodynamics, Mat. Sb., Nov. Ser., 47(89):3 (1959), 271-306. Zbl 0171.46204.
- [19] M.E. Gurtin, L.C. Martins, *Cauchy's theorem in classical physics*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **60** (1976), 305-324. Zbl 0347.73001

- [20] P. Lax, B. Wendroff, Systems of conservation laws, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 13 (1960), 217–237. Zbl 0152.44802
- [21] X. Lei, J. Li, Transversal effects of high order numerical schemes for compressible fluid flows, AMM, Appl. Math. Mech., Engl. Ed, 40:3 (2019), 343-354. Zbl 1416.76155
- [22] J. Li, Z. Du, A two-stage fourth order time-accurate discretization for Lax-Wendroff type flow solvers, I. Hyperbolic conservation laws, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38:5 (2016), A3046-A3069. Zbl 1395.65040
- [23] J. Li, Y. Wang, Thermodynamical effects and high resolution methods for compressible fluid flows, J. Comput. Phys., 343 (2017), 340-354. Zbl 1380.76064
- [24] J. Qian, J. Li, S. Wang, The generalized Riemann problems for compressible fluid flows: Towards high order, J. Comput. Phys., 259 (2014), 358-389. Zbl 1349.76379.
- [25] R.D. Richtmyer, K.W. Morton, Difference Methods for Initial Value Problems, New York-London-Sidney: Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley and Sons, 1967. Zbl 0155.47502.
- [26] W. Sheng, Q. Zhang, Y. Zheng, A direct Eulerian GRP scheme for a blood flow model in arteries, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 43:3 (2021), A1975–A1996. Zbl 1486.92004
- [27] M. Šilhavý, The existence of the flux vector and the divergence theorem for general Cauchy fluxes, Arch. Rat. Mech.Anal., 90 (1985), 195-212. Zbl 0593.73007
- [28] M. Šilhavý, Divergence-measure fields and Cauchy's stress theorem, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, 113 (2005), 15-45. Zbl 1167.74317
- [29] M. Spivak, M., A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Vol.I, Publish or Perish, 1979.
- [30] E. Toro, The ADER path to high-order Godunov methods. In: Demidenko, G., Romenski, E., Toro, E., Dumbser, M. (eds) Continuum Mechanics, Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing: Godunov's Legacy. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [31] E. Toro, Riemann solvers and numerical methods for fluid dynamics: a practical introduction. 3rd ed., Berlin: Springer, 2009. Zbl 1227.76006
- [32] B. van Leer, Towards the ultimate conservative difference scheme.IV: A second order sequel to Godunov's method, J. Comput. Phys., 32 (1979), 101–136. Zbl 1364.65223
- [33] B. van Leer, On the relation between the upwind-differencing schemes of Godunov, Engquist-Osher and Roe, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 5 (1984), 1-20. Zbl 0547.65065
- [34] B. van Leer, Upwind and high-resolution methods for compressible flow: From donor cell to residual-distribution schemes, Commun. Comp. Phys., 1:2 (2006), 192-206.
   Zbl 1114.76049
- [35] K. Wu, H. Tang, A direct Eulerian GRP scheme for spherically symmetric general relativistic hydrodynamics, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38:3 (2016), B458-B489. Zbl 1419.76468

MATANIA BEN-ARTZI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, 91904, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL Email address: mbartzi@math.huji.ac.il