## 1. GROUP THEORY - GEOMETRY CONNECTION.

We showed three statements:

1.1. **Geometry-to-Group.** Let  $\Pi$  be a Hilbert plane (satisfying I.1-3, B.1-4, C.1-6.) Let G be the group of rigid motions. Then G is sharply transitive on directed rays.

This includes both an existence and a uniqueness statement. Here is a direct verification of the uniqueness, under weaker assumptions.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $\Pi$  satisfy I 1-3, B 1-4, and the uniqueness part of C1 and C4. Let r be a ray, and let g be a rigid motion fixing r and fixing one side of r. Then g = Id, i.e. fixes every point (and line) of  $\Pi$ .

*Proof.* Say r = AA', and let l be the line containing r.

Claim: Let B be any point of l. Then g fixes B.

If B = A this is true by definition. If  $B \neq A$  and B lies on r, then g(B) lies on g(r) = r. Moreover  $AB \cong g(A)g(B) = Ag(B)$  by definition of a rigid motion. By the uniqueness part of C1 we have B = g(B). If  $B \in l$  but  $B \notin r$ , we use the opposite ray.

Now let B be any point not on l. Then g(B) lies on the same side of l as B, and  $\angle BAA' \cong \angle g(B)AA'$  since g is a rigid motion. By the uniqueness part of C4, g(B) = B.

1.3. Group to geometry: (=June 21 HW). Let  $\Pi$  be a structure satisfying I.1-3, B.1-4. So  $\Pi$  has a notion of I and of \*, but no notion of congruence is given. Let H be a group of automorphisms of  $\Pi$ , and assume H is sharply transitive on directed rays. DEFINE congruence on segments/angles by:  $x \cong y$  if there exists  $h \in H$  with h(x) = y. THEN with this notion,  $\Pi$  is a Hilbert plane (satisfying C.1-6.)

1.4. Geometry+ Group axioms to geometric axioms. Let  $\Pi$  be a satisfy I.1-3, B.1-4, and the uniqueness parts of C1 and C4. Also let G be the group of rigid motions of  $\Pi$ , and assume G is transitive on directed rays. Then  $\Pi$  satisfies C.1-6. Also two segments/angles are congruent if and only if there exists an element of G taking one to the other.

*Proof.* Let us prove this using (1) and (2). We are given the group G and a notion of congruence. **Claim 1.** For two segments x, y, we have  $x \cong y$  iff there exists  $g \in G$  with g(x) = y.

Proof: Since G is a group of rigid motions, if g(x) = y then  $x \cong y$ .

On the other hand suppose  $x \cong y$ . Say x = AB, y = CD; let r = AB, s = CD. Since G is transitive on directed rays, it is transitive on rays, i.e. there exists  $g \in G$  with g(r) = s. So g(A) = C, and  $g(B) \in CD$ . Again since g is a rigid motion,  $AB \cong Cg(B)$ . But  $AB \cong CD$  by assumption. By the uniqueness part of axiom C1, we have g(B) = D.

**Claim 2.** For two angles x, y we have  $x \cong y$  iff there exists  $g \in G$  with g(x) = y.

The proof is similar.

Now by Lemma 1.2, G is sharply transitive on directed rays. By (2), the plane  $\Pi$  with the congruence relations defined using G satisfies (C1-C6). But by the claim these are the same as the given congruence relations of  $\Pi$ . So (C1-C6) hold in  $\Pi$ .